## Mainland Summary Table

Category	Preferred Features of Concept A (Urban and Active)	Preferred Features of Concept B (Green Gateway)
Natural Environment	<ul> <li>Less Preferred</li> <li>Will reduce areas of impervious surfaces overall; however, the urban, active concept still includes additional areas of hardscaping.</li> </ul>	Preferred Provides more opportunity to increase the overall area of pervious surface.
	<ul> <li>Preferred</li> <li>Will increase the overall area of vegetation compared to existing conditions.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Preferred</li> <li>Will create more terrestrial habitat and provide more connectivity among habitat throughout the park since more vegetation/greenspace can be introduced.</li> </ul>
Preference	Less Preferred	Preferred Provides more greenspace which will reduce impervious surfaces and increase potential habitat throughout the park.
Social Environment	<ul> <li>Preferred</li> <li>Public feedback favours features (e.g., Urban Beach or other recreational opportunities) from Concept A as well as a hybrid idea including features from both (e.g., more wetland/vegetative features included).</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Preferred</li> <li>Public feedback has a slight preference for Concept B, specifically the increase in vegetation and greenspace.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Preferred</li> <li>Includes more recreational opportunities (passive and active).</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Preferred</li> <li>Includes areas for passive recreational uses (e.g., hammocks) throughout the zone.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Preferred</li> <li>Provides more opportunity for useful shade (i.e., areas where park users can get out of the sun) or sheltered areas by adding tables/umbrellas.</li> </ul>	Preferred  Includes more overall greenspace.

1

Category	Preferred Features of Concept A (Urban and Active)	Preferred Features of Concept B (Green Gateway)
Preference	Preferred  Key messages from public feedback are included in both concepts (e.g., reduce aboveground parking, dedicated drop-off/pickup zones).	Preferred  Key messages from public feedback are included in both concepts (e.g., reduce aboveground parking, dedicated drop-off/pickup zones).
Cultural Environment	<ul> <li>Preferred</li> <li>Both concepts meet conservation strategies equally.         Artwork (e.g., Sault, the Passage) could be relocated         east of the Central Entrance.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Preferred</li> <li>Both concepts meet conservation strategies equally.         Artwork (e.g., Sault, the Passage) could be relocated         east of the Central Entrance</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Preferred</li> <li>Includes more tree canopy areas around public amenity space, supporting Hough's vision of having tree canopy on site. Includes a "Cloud Gateway" that is an interpretation of the original park features.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Preferred</li> <li>Includes integration of more trees and vegetation that support Hough's vision of having tree canopy on site.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Less Preferred</li> <li>Will increase trees and vegetation compared to existing conditions.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Preferred</li> <li>Provides the most area for increasing greenspace which is preferred by Indigenous communities.</li> </ul>
Preference	Less Preferred	Preferred Provides more opportunity to incorporate feedback from Indigenous communities.
Technical Environment	Preferred  Overall, easier to implement.	<ul><li>Less Preferred</li><li>Incudes some features that require more work for implementation (e.g., wetland areas).</li></ul>
	<ul> <li>Preferred</li> <li>Will reduce the overall areas of impervious surfaces compared to existing conditions.</li> </ul>	<ul><li>Preferred</li><li>Provides greater opportunity to include pervious surfaces.</li></ul>

Category	Preferred Features of Concept A (Urban and Active)	Preferred Features of Concept B (Green Gateway)
	<ul> <li>Preferred</li> <li>Multi-modal connections to/from and within the site will be improved with designated drop-off/pick-up locations and the Arrival Plaza.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Preferred</li> <li>Multi-modal connections to/from and within the site will be improved with designated drop-off/pick-up locations and the Arrival Plaza.</li> </ul>
Preference	Preferred  Overall, this concept is easier to implement while reducing existing areas of impervious surfaces and increasing multi-modal connections.	Less Preferred
Economic Environment	Preferred  Will have lower construction and maintenance costs.	Less Preferred  Will have higher construction and maintenance costs.
	<ul><li>Preferred</li><li>Provides economic opportunities during construction and operation.</li></ul>	<ul><li>Preferred</li><li>Provides economic opportunities during construction and operation.</li></ul>
Preference	Preferred This concept has lower construction and maintenance costs.	Less Preferred
Sustainability	<ul> <li>Will increase the overall area of vegetation compared to existing conditions.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Preferred</li> <li>More opportunity to increase the amount of overall vegetation throughout the Mainland.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Preferred</li> <li>Is designed to withstand severe weather and is anticipated to exist is a changing climate. The trees and vegetation will be native to the area and chosen based on resiliency.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Less Preferred</li> <li>Will require some routine maintenance to withstand the impacts of climate change. For example, additional resources will be required during times of low precipitation or extreme temperatures.</li> </ul>

Category	Preferred Features of Concept A (Urban and Active)	Preferred Features of Concept B (Green Gateway)
Preference	Preferred Will withstand severe weather without additional maintenance. Stormwater rain gardens can be included in this concept which include vegetated areas that provide a similar character as the wetlands but are considered more feasible (i.e., do not require routine maintenance to withstand the impacts of climate change).	Preferred The increased vegetation is generally better for the environment, but the wetland features will require support to withstand severe weather.
OVERALL PREFERENCE	Preferred Provides opportunity for increasing the area of vegetation and greenspace compared to existing conditions, ultimately also increasing the overall area of pervious surface throughout the park. Lower in construction and maintenance costs and easier to implement. Features from Concept B will be integrated into Concept A such as increasing greenspace and vegetation (e.g., Green Gateway feature, stormwater rain gardens). Both concepts will see the P1 parking lot relocated underground, and the remaining aboveground parking lot resurfaced with green pave technology. Both concepts include building the Science Pavilion and the Ontario Plaza.	Less Preferred

Green shading represents preferred.

Yellow shading represents less preferred.